

JUN 27 2006

LAW OFFICES OF  
**McGINN INTELLECTUAL PROPERTY LAW GROUP, PLLC**

A PROFESSIONAL LIMITED LIABILITY COMPANY  
PATENTS, TRADEMARKS, COPYRIGHTS, AND INTELLECTUAL PROPERTY LAW  
8321 OLD COURTHOUSE ROAD, SUITE 200  
VIENNA, VIRGINIA 22182-3817  
TELEPHONE: (703) 761-4100  
FACSIMILE/DATA: (703) 761-2375; 761-2376  
E-MAIL: MCGINN@GIBB @ AOL.COM / ADMIN @ MCGINN@PLAW.COM  
SENDER'S E-MAIL: JHOWARD @ MCGINN@PLAW.COM

SEAN M. MCGINN  
PHILLIP E. MILLER†  
FREDERICK E. COOPER RIDER†  
JAMES E. HOWARD†  
JOHN J. DRESCH  
SCOTT M. TULINO  
J. BRADLEY WRIGHT†  
DONALD J. LECHER  
PETER F. MCGEE†  
†MEMBER OF BAR OTHER THAN VA

June 27, 2006

**VIA FACSIMILE**

To: Examiner Wai Sing Louie  
Group Art Unit: 2814  
U.S.P.T.O.

Facsimile No.: (571) 273-8300

From: James E. Howard

Facsimile No.: (703) 761-2375 or 76

Re: Enclosed Request for Reconsideration  
U.S. Patent Application Serial No. 10/774,389  
Docket No.: PTGF-03083

Dear Examiner Louie:

Enclosed for filing is a Request for Reconsideration in response to the Final Office Action mailed on May 15, 2006, which should place the above-referenced case in condition for allowance.

Thank you in advance for your kind consideration on this case.

Very truly yours,

  
James E. Howard

JEH/geb

Enclosures

Total pages transmitted: 8

JUN 27 2006

## IN THE UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

In re Application of

Toshiya Uemura

Serial No.: 10/774,389 Group Art Unit: 2814

Filed: February 10, 2004 Examiner: Wai Sing Louie

For: LIGHT EMITTING APPARATUS

Honorable Commissioner of Patents  
Box AF  
Alexandria, VA 22313 - 1450REQUEST FOR RECONSIDERATION

Sir:

This paper is in response to the Office Action dated May 15, 2006.

Claims 1-20 are all the claims presently pending in the application. Claims 1, 8 and 16 are independent.

Applicant notes that, notwithstanding any claim amendments herein or later during prosecution, Applicant's intent is to encompass equivalents of all claim elements.

Claims 1-5, 7, 10-13, and 16-17 stand rejected under 35 U.S.C. § 103(a) as being unpatentable over the Caracci et al. reference in view of the Slater Jr. et al. reference. Claims 6, 8-9, 14-15, and 18-20 stand rejected under 35 U.S.C. § 103(a) as being unpatentable over the Caracci et al. reference in view of the Slater Jr. et al. reference and further in view of the Lowery et al. reference.

These rejections are respectfully traversed in the following discussion.

**I. THE CLAIMED INVENTION**

An exemplary embodiment of the claimed invention as defined by, for example, independent claim 1, is directed to a light emitting apparatus that includes a semiconductor